

# 3 Year Pupil Premium Strategy Plan

St Elizabeth's Catholic Voluntary Academy

SUMMARY INFORMATION								
PUPIL PREMIUM LEADERSHIP INFORMATION 2021-2022								
Pupil Premium Lead	Rosalyn Wilby		Governor Lead		Hettie Beetham			
CURRENT PUPIL INFORMATION 2021-2022								
Total number of pupils:	188	Total pupil premium bud	lget:	£18,830 + £4,690 Post LAC + £3,858 2020/21 monies + £2,175 RPF	Date of most recent PP Review:			
Number of pupils eligible for pupil premium:	16	Amount of pupil premium received per child:		£1,345 + £2,345 Post LAC	Publish Date:		Dec 2021	
Proportion of disadvantaged pupils:	7.9%	Date for next internal review of this strategy:		July 2022	Stateme	nt authorised by:	??	

PUPIL PREMIUM COHORT INFORMATION						
CHARACTERISTIC* NUMBER IN GROUP PERCENTAGE OF GROUP						
Boys	5	31.25%				
Girls	11	68.75%				
SEN support	3	18.75%				
EHC plan	0	0%				
EAL	2	12.5%				

## Assessment data for previous 3 years \*

\* No individual school or national attainment data is available for the 2019-20 or 2020-21 academic years, due to the Coronavirus pandemic.

EYFS DATA 2018-19						
	Pupils eligible for PP	Pupils not eligible for PP	All pupils	National PP	National non- PP	National All pupils
% achieving Good level of development (GLD)	0%	79%	77%	57%	74%	72%
% meeting EXP or exceeded in Reading	0%	86%	83%	62%	79%	77%
% meeting EXP or exceeded in Writing	0%	79%	77%	58%	76%	74%
% meeting EXP or exceeded in Maths (Number)	0%	79%	77%	66%	82%	80%
EYFS DATA 2017-18						
% achieving Good level of development (GLD)	na	67%	67%	57%	74%	72%

% meeting EXP or exceeded in Reading	na	77%	77%	62%	79%	77%		
% meeting EXP or exceeded in Writing	na	77%	77%	59%	76%	74%		
% meeting EXP or exceeded in Maths (Number)	na	82%	80%	66%	82%	80%		
EYFS DATA 2016-17								
% achieving Good level of development (GLD)	50%	73%	70%	56%	73%	71%		
% meeting EXP or exceeded in Reading	50%	77%	73%	63%	79%	77%		
% meeting EXP or exceeded in Writing	50%	77%	73%	58%	76%	73%		
% meeting EXP or exceeded in Number	50%	81%	77%	66%	81%	79%		

PHONICS 2018-19							
	Pupils eligible for PP	Pupils not eligible for PP	All pupils	National PP	National non- PP	National All pupils	
% of pupils passing Phonics Screening Check	0%	100%	93%	71%	84%	82%	
PHONICS 2017-18							
% of pupils passing Phonics Screening Check	100%	93%	93%	70%	84%	82%	
PHONICS 2016-17							
% of pupils passing Phonics Screening Check	na	83%	83%	68%	83%	81%	

KSI ATTAINMENT 2018-19						
	Pupils eligible for PP	Pupils not eligible for PP	All pupils	National PP	National non- PP	National All pupils
% achieving expected standard or above in	0%	78%	78%	50%	69%	65%

reading, writing and maths						
% meeting expected standard or above in reading	0%	93%	86%	62%	78%	75%
% meeting expected standard or above in writing	0%	81%	76%	55%	73%	69%
% meeting expected standard or above in maths	0%	96%	90%	62%	79%	76%
KSI ATTAINMENT 2017-18				,		
% achieving expected standard or above in reading, writing and maths	0%	53%	52%	51%	69%	65%
% meeting expected standard or above in reading	na	78%	78%	60%	78%	75%
% meeting expected standard or above in writing	na	78%	78%	53%	73%	70%
% meeting expected standard or above in maths	na	81%	81%	61%	79%	76%
KSI ATTAINMENT 2016-17						
% achieving expected standard or above in reading, writing and maths	50%	75%	73%	50%	68%	64%
% meeting expected standard or above in reading	0%	80%	77%	61%	78%	76%
% meeting expected standard or above in writing	0%	57%	55%	52%	71%	68%
% meeting expected standard or above in maths	100%	77%	77%	60%	78%	75%

KS2 Data 2018-19						
	Pupils eligible for PP	Pupils not eligible for PP	All pupils	National PP	National non-PP	National All pupils
Ks2 Attainment RWM combined	60%	83.8%	74%	51%	71%	65%
Progress score in reading	0.94	1.72	1.59	-0.62	0.32	0.03
Progress score in writing	-2.52	-0.19	-0.58	-0.50	0.27	0.03
Progress score in maths	4.04	1.70	2.11	-0.71	0.37	0.03
KS2 DATA 2017-18						
Ks2 Attainment RWM combined	100%	96%	97%	51%	70%	64%
Progress score in reading	5.97	3.23	3.52	-0.60	0.30	0.03
Progress score in writing	3.09	0.50	0.78	-0.40	0.20	0.03
Progress score in maths	6.78	3.62	3.96	-0.60	0.30	0.03
KS2 DATA 2016-17						
Ks2 Attainment RWM combined	100%	96%	97%	48%	67%	61%
Progress score in reading	5.33	5.20	5.21	-0.70	0.30	0.00

KS2 Data 2018-19						
Progress score in writing	1.54	1.59	1.58	-0.40	0.20	0.00
Progress score in maths	6.54	4.52	4.72	-0.60	0.30	0.00

ATTENDANCE DATA							
	% Attendance of pupils eligible for the PP	% Attendance for All pupils	National % Attendance for All pupils				
2020-21 *	98.04%	98.45%	na				
2019-20 *	94.07%	95%	na				
2018-19	93.71%	96.39%	96%				

<sup>\*</sup> The pandemic has affected attendance figures in school in 2019-20 and 2020-21. There is no national attendance data available for the 2019-20 academic year.

BAR	BARRIERS TO FURTHER ATTAINMENT						
In-Sc	n-School Barriers (such as poor literacy skills)						
Α	Written language skills in Reception are lower for pupils eligible for PP than for other pupils. This slows progress in subsequent years.						
В	High ability pupils who are eligible for PP are making less progress than other high ability pupils across KS1, due to consistency in approach to pedagogy across key stadges. This prevents sustained high achievement in KS2.						
С	Writing skills in pupils eligible for PP are lower than other pupils. This is impacted year on year.						
Exter	External Barriers (such as poor attendance)						
D	PP children have less access to music and sports provision, trips, enriched curriculum, IT and Kidzone club. PP resources will address this by funding this						

	provision for PP children.							
Е	Attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP are below the national rate. This rec	Attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP are below the national rate. This reduces their school hours and causes them to fall behind on average.						
Desire	esired Outcomes/Aim							
	Outcome/Aim	Success Criteria						
A	Improve written language for pupils eligible for PP in Reception class.	Pupils eligible for PP in Reception class make rapid progress by the end of the year so that all pupils eligible for PP meet age related expectations.						
В	Higher rates of progression across KS2 for high attaining pupils eligible for PP. Measure by achieving in depth targets.	Pupils eligible for PP identified as high ability make as much progress as 'other' pupils identified as high ability, across KS2 in maths, reading and writing. Measured in Y4, 5 and 6 by teacher assessments and successful moderation practices.						
С	Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP.	Reduce the number of persistent absentees among pupils eligible for PP to 5% or below. Overall PP attendance improves to being in line with other pupils and the national rate.						
D	Pupils eligible for PP across the school have increased access to a wider and enriched curriculum.	Pupils eligible for PP across the school have increased access to a wider and enriched curriculum.						
Е	Improve writing skills for those eligible for PP so that they are making the expected progress.	Pupils eligible for PP will improve writing skills so that they are making at least the expected progress compared to national figures.						

### 3 YEAR PUPIL PREMIUM STRATEGY

TEACHING P	TEACHING PRIORITIES (Quality First Teaching, Teaching support, curriculum subject design)									
Member of staff responsible:										
Priority	Barrier addressed	Activity (CPD, Recruitment, intervention, resourcing)	By when (include review dates)	Costings	Success measure					
1.Improved written language skills in Reception	Implemented ongoing to embed	Introduction of T4W across the school for a consistently high pedagogical approach and strategies.	Termly Reviewed Sept 21	£225.08	80% of reception class to achieve ELG					
2. Improved progress for high attaining pupils including greater depth in writing.	Implemented ongoing to embed	We will achieve this by improving teacher subject knowledge through a comprehensive CPD offer and also by having consistent pedagogical content strategies in place e.g. Talk for Reading, My Book Blog, Talk for Writing, Big Maths/Power Maths.	Termly Reviewed Sept 21	Power Maths £3374.68  My Book Blog £480  Total: £3854.68	% of pupils achieving greater depth will be inline with national figures.					

·		Introduction of T4W across the school for a consistently high pedagogical approach and strategies.	Termly Reviewed Sept 21	£225.08	% of pupils achieving expected or higher within writing will be more in line with national figures.				
TARGETED ACADEMIC SUPPORT (interventions)  Member of staff responsible:									
Priority	Barrier addressed	Activity (CPD, Recruitment, intervention, resourcing)	By when (include review dates)	Costings	Success measure				
1.Improved written language skills in reception.	Implemented ongoing to embed	Small group provision for children in reception.	Termly Reviewed Sept 21	£421.75	80% of reception children will achieve ELGs				

Termly

21

Reviewed Sept

£3866.50

% of pupils achieving

greater depth will be

in line with national

figures.

Weekly small group sessions in maths, literacy, for

high attaining pupils with experienced teacher in

addition to standard lessons.

2.Improved

progress for

pupils.

high attaining

Implemented

ongoing to

embed

3. Improve writing skills for those eligible for PP so that they are making the expected progress.	Implemented ongoing to embed	Weekly small group sessions.	Termly Reviewed Sept 21	£3866.50	% of pupils achieving expected or higher within writing will be more in line with national figures.
--	------------------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------	----------	---

## WIDER STRATEGIES (Wider curricular, Cultural capital, PSHE, Mental Health, extra-curricular)

#### Member of staff responsible:

Priority	Barrier addressed	Activity (CPD, Recruitment, intervention, resourcing)	By when (include review dates)	Costings	Success measure
1.Support PP children through a range of extra activities.	No	Support school trips, music provision, Kidzone (as necessary) sports clubs, Lamda. Technology allocation.	Summer term Reviewed Sept 21	£4278	PP children will have greater access to a wider curriculum.  Therefore inspiring and raising the aspirations of PP children.

## PUPIL PREMIUM ACTION PLAN: 2020/21

TEACHING P	RIORTIES									
Priority No. from 3 Year plan	Desired Outcome	Chosen Approach/Action	What is the evidence/ration approach?	nale for t			w will you e plemented v		Staff lead	Review Date
1	For improved language skills for all children in EYFS.	Talk for Writing	Its an engaging devised by Pie C supported by Ju that has building skills at the hear based on the walearn, imitating modelled languages.	Corbett and Ilia Strong Ilia Strong Ilianguage of tof it. Its Ilianguage of the Ilia	nd g, ge s	evic sett rest	ofor writing dent within the ting and through the school son observations.	the EYFS ough out the ol.		
2	Improved progress for high attaining pupils.	Consistent pedagogical strategies across the school including Talk for Writing, Talk for Reading, Big Maths and Power Maths.	A consistent teaching and learning approach across the school will ensure continuity of learning. Children will be able to build on their learning year on year.		s the nuity be rning	Less Lea	dence of prod in planning son observations walks.	g.		
	TOTAL cost?	estimated budgeted	£4079.76	Amoun	nt spent	?	£4079.76	Fund?		Recovery
TARGETED A	CADEMIC SUP	PORT								
Priority No. from 3 Year plan	Desired Outcome	Chosen Approach/Action	What is the evidence/ration this approach?				you ensure mented	Staff lead		Review Date
1	Improved written skills for reception	Early identification of needs from baseline	EEF Toolkit sugg that improving	est	Specifi timeta					

children.	data for small grou provision for targe support.	•	Booster folder we evidence of plant work and example work.	rith ned iles of
Improved p for high att including gridepth.	needs from baselin	e that 'evidence p shows that small ted tuition is effective as a rule of thum	timetabled for support (booster work. b, the othe ites of the or han e size e of	rk
TOTAL estimated budgeted c	ost? £4288.25 A	mount spent? £4288.	25 Fund? Pupil	I Premium
WIDER STRATEGIES				

Priority No. from 3 Year plan	Desired Outcome	Chos Appro	en pach/Action	What is the evidence/rationa this approach?	ale for	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Review Date
1	For PP children to have the same access to the wider curriculum offered.	of: sch provis neces provis	oport the funding nool trips, music sion, Kidzone (as sary) sports sions and clubs. nology allocation.	The EEF suggests 'enriching educat has intrinsic bene All children, inclu those from disadvantaged backgrounds, des well-rounded, culturally rich, education.	ion fits. ding	Evidence of trips, residentials and visitors well attended by all.  Number of PP children involved in yr 6 band.		
TOTAL estimated	budgeted cost? £	4278	Amount spent?	£420 – Kidzone	Fund	?		Pupil Premium

### PUPIL PREMIUM ACTION PLAN: 2021/22

TEACHING P	RIORTIES					
Priority No. from 3 Year plan	Desired Outcome	Chosen Approach/Action	What is the evidence/rationale for this approach?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Review Date & who is evaluating impact?
1	For improved language skills for all children in EYFS.	Talk for Writing	Its an engaging programme, devised by Pie Corbett and supported by Julia Strong, that has building language skills at the heart of it. Its based on the way children learn, imitating and using modelled language. We have implemented this approach across the school last year and it is already having a positive impact, this is our embedding year!	Talk for writing process evident within the EYFS setting and through out the rest of the school. Lesson observations. Planning. Literacy progression document.		
2	Improved progress for high attainers, including greater depth.	Consistent pedagogical strategies across the school including Talk for Writing, Talk for Reading, Big Maths and Power Maths.	A consistent teaching and learning approach across the school will ensure continuity of learning. Children will be able to build on their learning year on year.  This is a long term approach and will need time to embed	Evidence of programmes used in planning.  Lesson observations.  Learning walks.  Book scrutiny.		

			and show impact.			
3	PP pupils writing skills improved so they are meeting at least the expected standard.	Talk for writing.	Its an engaging programme, devised by Pie Corbett and supported by Julia Strong, that has building language skills at the heart of it. Its based on the way children learn, imitating and using modelled language. We have implemented this approach across the school last year and it is already having a positive impact, this is our embedding year!	Talk for writing process evident within the EYFS setting and through out the rest of the school. Lesson observations. Planning. Literacy progression document.		
				TOTAL estimated bud	dgeted cost?	£4356.25

Priority No. from 3 Year plan	Desired Outcome	Chosen Approach/Action	What is the evidence/rationale for this approach?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Review Date & who is evaluating impact?
1	Improved written skills for reception children.	Early identification of needs from baseline data for small group provision for targeted support.	EEF Toolkit suggest that improving interaction and developing vocabulary in young children is an effective way to improve attainment, and it is suitable as an approach that we can	Specific session timetabled for support (booster) work.  Booster folder with evidence of planned work and examples of work.		

1	For PP children to have the same access to the wider	To support the funding of: school trips, music provision, Kidzone (as	The EEF suggests that 'enriching education has intrinsic benefits.	Evidence of trips, residentials and visitors well attended		
Priority No. from 3 Year plan	Desired Outcome	Chosen Approach/Action	What is the evidence/rationale for this approach?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Review Date & who is evaluating impact?
WIDER STRA	TEGIES				<u> </u>	14374
				TOTAL estima	ated budgeted cost?	£4374
			outcomes).'			
			development on pupil			
			staff professional			
			the benefits of			
			(there is evidence of			
			the precise group size			
			more important than			
			teaching in small groups may be as or			
			that 'the quality of the	Termly monitoring.		
			better.' It also states			
		experienced teacher	smaller the group the	Planning and work based evidence.		
		support, with an	as a rule of thumb, the	Diamaia a and wards		
	depth.	provision for targeted	tuition is effective and,	work.		
	including greater	data for small group	shows that small group	support (booster)		
2	Improved progress for high attainers,	Early identification of needs from baseline	EEF Toolkit suggests that 'evidence	Specific session timetabled for		
			school.			
			embed across the	Termly monitoring.		

All children, including

by all.

curriculum offered.

necessary) sports

		provisions and clubs. Technology allocation.	those from disadvantaged backgrounds, deserve a well-rounded, culturally rich, education.	Number of PP children involved in yr 6 band, and school clubs.		
	£8,550					