



3 Year Pupil Premium Strategy Plan

St Elizabeth's Catholic Voluntary Academy

SUMMARY INFORMATION

PUPIL PREMIUM LEADERSHIP INFORMATION 2020-2021

Pupil Premium Lead	Rosalyn Wilby	Governor Lead	Marie Jo Booth
--------------------	---------------	---------------	----------------

CURRENT PUPIL INFORMATION 2020-2021

Total number of pupils:	201	Total pupil premium budget:	£22,520	Date of most recent PP Review	
Number of pupils eligible for pupil premium:	16	Amount of pupil premium received per child:	£1345	Date for next internal review of this strategy	
Proportion of disadvantaged pupils:	8%				

PUPIL PREMIUM COHORT INFORMATION

CHARACTERISTIC*	NUMBER IN GROUP	PERCENTAGE OF GROUP
Boys	5	29%
Girls	12	70%
SEN support	2	12%
EHC plan	0	0%
EAL	2	12%

Assessment data for previous 3 years *

EYFS DATA 2018-19						
	Pupils eligible for PP	Pupils not eligible for PP	All pupils	National PP	National non-PP	National All pupils
% achieving Good level of development (GLD)	0%	79%	77%	57%	74%	72%
% meeting EXP or exceeded in Reading	0%	86%	83%	62%	79%	77%
% meeting EXP or exceeded in Writing	0%	79%	77%	58%	76%	74%
% meeting EXP or exceeded in Maths (Number)	0%	79%	77%	66%	82%	80%
EYFS DATA 2017-18						
% achieving Good level of development (GLD)			70%	57%	74%	72%
% meeting EXP or exceeded in Reading				62%	79%	77%

% meeting EXP or exceeded in Writing				59%	76%	74%
% meeting EXP or exceeded in Maths (Number)				66%	82%	80%
EYFS DATA 2016-17						
% achieving Good level of development (GLD)	50%	73%	70%	56%	73%	71%
% meeting EXP or exceeded in Reading	50%	77%	73%	63%	79%	77%
% meeting EXP or exceeded in Writing	50%	77%	73%	58%	76%	73%
% meeting EXP or exceeded in Number	50%	81%	77%	66%	81%	79%

PHONICS 2018-19						
	Pupils eligible for PP	Pupils not eligible for PP	All pupils	National PP	National non-PP	National All pupils
% of pupils passing Phonics Screening Check	50%	96%	93%	71%	84%	82%
PHONICS 2017-18						
% of pupils passing Phonics Screening Check	75%	96%	93%	70%	84%	82%
PHONICS 2016-17						
% of pupils passing Phonics Screening Check	100%	86%	83%	68%	83%	81%

KSI ATTAINMENT 2018-19						
	Pupils eligible for PP	Pupils not eligible for PP	All pupils	National PP	National non-PP	National All pupils
% achieving expected standard or above in				na	na	na

reading, writing and maths						
% meeting expected standard or above in reading				62%	78%	75%
% meeting expected standard or above in writing				55%	73%	69%
% meeting expected standard or above in maths				62%	79%	76%
KSI ATTAINMENT 2017-18						
% achieving expected standard or above in reading, writing and maths				na	na	na
% meeting expected standard or above in reading				60%	78%	75%
% meeting expected standard or above in writing				53%	73%	70%
% meeting expected standard or above in maths				61%	79%	76%
KSI ATTAINMENT 2016-17						
% achieving expected standard or above in reading, writing and maths				na	na	na
% meeting expected standard or above in reading				61%	78%	76%
% meeting expected standard or above in writing				52%	71%	68%
% meeting expected standard or above in maths				60%	78%	75%

KS2 Data 2018-19						
	Pupils eligible for PP	Pupils not eligible for PP	All pupils	National PP	National non-PP	National All pupils
Ks2 Attainment RWM combined	60%	83.8%	74%	51%	71%	65%
Progress score in reading	0.94	(26 pupils)	1.59	-0.62	0.32	0.03
Progress score in writing	-2.52	(26 pupils)	-0.58	-0.50	0.27	0.03
Progress score in maths	4.04	(26 pupils)	2.11	-0.71	0.37	0.03
KS2 DATA 2017-18						
Ks2 Attainment RWM combined				51%	70%	64%
Progress score in reading			3.52	-0.60	0.30	0.03
Progress score in writing			1.58	-0.40	0.20	0.03
Progress score in maths			3.96	-0.60	0.30	0.03
KS2 DATA 2016-17						
Ks2 Attainment RWM combined				48%	67%	61%
Progress score in reading			5.21	-0.70	0.30	0.00
Progress score in writing			1.58	-0.40	0.20	0.00
Progress score in maths			4.72	-0.60	0.30	0.00

ATTENDANCE DATA			
	% Attendance of pupils eligible for the PP	% Attendance for All pupils	National % Attendance for All pupils
2019-20	94.07%	95%	na
2018-19	93.71%	96.39%	96%
2017-18	93.86%	95.98%	95.8%

* No individual school or national attainment data is available for the 2019-20 academic year, due to the Coronavirus pandemic.

BARRIERS TO FURTHER ATTAINMENT	
In-School Barriers (such as poor literacy skills)	
A	Written language skills in Reception are lower for pupils eligible for PP than for other pupils. This slows progress in subsequent years.
B	High ability pupils who are eligible for PP are making less progress than other high ability pupils across KS1. This prevents sustained high achievement in KS2.
C	Attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP are below the national rate. This reduces their school hours and causes them to fall behind on average.
External Barriers (such as poor attendance)	
D	PP children have less access to music and sports provision, trips, enriched curriculum, IT and Kidzone club. PP resources will address this by funding this provision for PP children.
E	
Desired Outcomes	
	Outcome
	Success Criteria
A	Improve written language for pupils eligible for PP in Reception class.
	Pupils eligible for PP in Reception class make rapid progress by the

		end of the year so that all pupils eligible for PP meet age related expectations.
B	Higher rates of progression across KS2 for high attaining pupils eligible for PP. Measure by achieving in depth targets.	Pupils eligible for PP identified as high ability make as much progress as 'other' pupils identified as high ability, across KS2 in maths, reading and writing. Measured in Y4, 5 and 6 by teacher assessments and successful moderation practices.
C	Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP.	Reduce the number of persistent absentees among pupils eligible for PP to 5% or below. Overall PP attendance improves to being in line with other pupils and the national rate.
D	Pupils eligible for PP across the school have increased access to a wider and enriched curriculum.	Pupils eligible for PP across the school have increased access to a wider and enriched curriculum.
E		

3 YEAR PUPIL PREMIUM STRATEGY

TEACHING PRIORITIES (Quality First Teaching, Teaching support, curriculum subject design)

Member of staff responsible:

Priority	Activity (CPD, Recruitment, intervention, resourcing)	By when	Costings	Success measure
1.Improved written language skills in Reception	Introduction of T4W across the school for a consistently high pedagogical approach and strategies.	Termly	£225.08	80% of reception class to achieve ELG
2. Improved progress for high attaining pupils including greater depth in writing.	We will achieve this by improving teacher subject knowledge through a comprehensive CPD offer and also by having consistent pedagogical content strategies in place e.g. Talk for Reading, My Book Blog,Talk for Writing, Big Maths/Power Maths.	Termly	Power Maths £3374.68 My Book Blog £480 Total: £3854.68	% of pupils achieving greater depth will be inline with national figures.
3.				

TARGETED ACADEMIC SUPPORT (interventions)

Member of staff responsible:

Priority	Activity (CPD, Recruitment, intervention, resourcing)	By when	Costings	Success measure
1.Improved written language skills in reception.	Small group provision for children in reception.	Termly	£421.75	80% of reception children will achieve ELGs
2.Improved progress for high attaining pupils.	Weekly small group sessions in maths, literacy, for high attaining pupils with experienced teacher in addition to standard lessons.	Termly	£3866.50	% of pupils achieving greater depth will be in line with national figures.
3				

WIDER STRATEGIES (Wider curricular, Cultural capital, PSHE, Mental Health, extra-curricular)

Member of staff responsible:

Priority	Activity (CPD, Recruitment, intervention, resourcing)	By when	Costings	Success measure
----------	---	---------	----------	-----------------

1.Support PP children through a range of extra activities.	Support school trips, music provision, Kidzone (as necessary) sports clubs, Lamda. Technology allocation.	Summer term	£4278	PP children will have the same access to a wider curriculum as non PP.
2				
3				

PUPIL PREMIUM ACTION PLAN: 2020/21

TEACHING PRIORTIES						
Priority No. from 3 Year plan	Desired Outcome	Chosen Approach/Action	What is the evidence/rationale for this approach?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Review Date
1	For improved language skills for all children in EYFS.	Talk for Writing	Its an engaging programme, devised by Pie Corbett and supported by Julia Strong, that has building language skills at the heart of it. Its based on the way children learn, imitating and using modelled language.	Talk for writing process evident within the EYFS setting and through out the rest of the school. Lesson observations.		
2	Improved progress for high attaining pupils.	Consistent pedagogical strategies across the school including Talk for Writing, Talk for Reading, Big Maths	A consistent teaching and learning approach across the school will ensure continuity of learning. Children will be able to build on their learning	Evidence of programmes used in planning. Lesson observations.		

		and Power Maths.	year on year.	Learning walks. Book scrutiny.		
TOTAL estimated budgeted cost?						£4079.76

TARGETED ACADEMIC SUPPORT

Priority No. from 3 Year plan	Desired Outcome	Chosen Approach/Action	What is the evidence/rationale for this approach?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Review Date
1	Improved written skills for reception children.	Early identification of needs from baseline data for small group provision for targeted support.	EEF Toolkit suggest that improving interaction and developing vocabulary in young children is an effective way to improve attainment, and it is suitable as an approach that we can embed across the school.	Specific session timetabled for support (booster) work. Booster folder with evidence of planned work and examples of work. Termly monitoring.		
2	Improved progress for high attainers, including greater depth.	Early identification of needs from baseline data for small group provision for targeted support, with an experienced teacher	EEF Toolkit suggests that 'evidence shows that small group tuition is effective and, as a rule of thumb, the smaller the group the better.' It also states	Specific session timetabled for support (booster) work. Planning and work based evidence.		

			that 'the quality of the teaching in small groups may be as or more important than the precise group size (there is evidence of the benefits of staff professional development on pupil outcomes).'	Termly monitoring.		
TOTAL estimated budgeted cost?						£4288.25

WIDER STRATEGIES

Priority No. from 3 Year plan	Desired Outcome	Chosen Approach/Action	What is the evidence/rationale for this approach?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Review Date
1	For PP children to have the same access to the wider curriculum offered.	To support the funding of: school trips, music provision, Kidzone (as necessary) sports provisions and clubs. Technology allocation.	The EEF suggests that 'enriching education has intrinsic benefits. All children, including those from disadvantaged backgrounds, deserve a well-rounded, culturally rich, education.	Evidence of trips, residential and visitors well attended by all. Number of PP children involved in yr 6 band.		

TOTAL estimated budgeted cost?						£4278

REVIEW OF 3 YEAR STRATEGY [date]

*At least annually

TEACHING PRIORITIES			
Priority Area	Chosen Action	Impact? (High, Mid, Low) How do you know?	Lessons learnt and continue with provision?
TARGETED ACADEMIC SUPPORT			
Priority Area	Chosen Action	Impact? (High, Mid, Low) How do you know?	Lessons learnt and continue with provision?
WIDER STRATEGIES			
Priority Area	Chosen Action	Impact? (High, Mid, Low)	Lessons learnt and continue with provision?

		How do you know?	